UF Governance Enhancement Standards

I. Mission, Overview, Principles

A. University of Florida Governance Enhancement Mission Statement

1. **Overarching Mission**: To advance the University of Florida’s stature and associated contributions, as summarized below by the University of Florida Board of Trustees (BOT) at its December 2016 meeting, through governance standards that foster good and appropriate Board governance, while also enabling the University President and his administration to operate and manage the University efficiently and effectively and to successfully pursue UF’s priority goals.

“As the highest priorities of the University, the University of Florida Board of Trustees enthusiastically affirms its Endorsement and Commitment (1) to the University’s Top 10 Public Research University Goals, the UF Metrics That Matter to measure progress toward these goals, and the Plan for achieving these goals, as presented by President Fuchs and his team at the Board’s November 3, 2016 Retreat; and (2) to the Board of Governors’ Performance Funding Metrics; and (3) to the dashboards for tracking progress against the Metrics That Matter and the Performance Funding Metrics, as presented by Provost Glover and favorably reviewed by the Board; and (4) to working with dedication, and to supporting the President and Senior Administration in working with dedication, to achieve these priorities.”

University of Florida Board of Trustees, December 2, 2016

B. University of Florida Governance Enhancement Principles

1. **Effectiveness/Feasibility**: The governance enhancement standards (standards) are not intended to interfere with efficient operations. There is an intent to promptly revisit any standard that causes issues in practice to see if a change is warranted. The standards would also be reviewed promptly if experience reveals any gaps in good governance practices, and will be reviewed every 5 years for good governance in any event.

2. **Catch-All**: If any additional transaction or other matter of UF, any Affiliate (Direct Support Organizations/DSOs and Practice Plans/PP) or any Shands Entity—beyond ordinary business matters and not covered by the specified standards—would be considered material to UF and/or an Affiliate or Shands Entity, including its resources or reputation, or would generate significant media attention, the UF President or designee is expected to confer with the BOT Chair and to notify the BOT Vice Chair; if determined significant, this will be followed by notice to the Trustees. Also if any matter is expected to generate significant media attention outside of the ordinary course, the UF President is expected to notify all Trustees. The BOT Chair and President shall collaborate over time to support their mutual understanding of this expectation, recognizing that there are judgments involved for both of them and that health, safety and operational exigencies may require priority responses before these communications.
3. **Delegation:** The UF BOT Chair and Vice Chair may delegate their roles under the standards to other Trustees, in consultation with the UF President. The UF President may delegate his roles under the standards to appropriate senior executives/managers with expertise in the relevant area (e.g., finance, construction).

4. **Shands Entities:** Shands Entities are not treated as generic Affiliates, and UF and Shands Entities have formulated good governance practices tailored for Shands Entities. The objective is to increase information, without fundamentally changing the character of the Shands Entities or the UF and Shands Entities relationship that have resulted in an almost 40-year record of rating agencies, creditors and courts treating Shands Entities’ and UF’s/the state’s assets, debts and liabilities as separate. Tailored practices are: (1) related to Debt, see Exhibit B (previously approved by the UF BOT and reviewed favorably by the BOG and Cabinet) to the Full Chart of Governance Enhancement Standards & Approval or Communication Types (Full Standards Chart or Chart) and (2) enhanced communications with the UF BOT Chair and Vice Chair in advance of other major undertakings by Shands Entities leadership (see, e.g., Chart #2, #5, #6--advance communications with UF Board Chair and Vice Chair regarding Shands Entities’ construction projects, goods and services agreements, and real estate transactions; Chart #7 and Exhibit B--good governance practices on Shands Debt; see also, Chart #1 and Exhibits A and B--on UF BOT ratification of UF Pres./designee’s appointments to Shands Entities’ boards; Chart #14—UF BOT approval of creation of a new entity by Shands; and principle 2 above--catch all).

5. **Effect of UF or Affiliate Involvement:** Whenever UF and/or Affiliate(s) are parties to a transaction (e.g., goods and services or real estate) that triggers an approval threshold under the standards—and an outside, non-UF family member is also a party—the relevant approvals must be obtained and advance notice provided as a prerequisite to UF and/or the Affiliate(s) entering into a binding contract or taking a binding action. Where a Shands Entity is also a party to such a transaction (of UF and/or Affiliate(s) with a non-UF family member), UF and/or the Affiliate(s) still must satisfy the approval and notice prerequisites to their respective participation, even though the standards call for the Shands Entity to only provide information in connection with its participation. Intra-UF-family goods and services and real estate transactions over a threshold are not subject to approvals under the standards, but must satisfy other requirements specified (Chart #5 and #6). These requirements include, e.g., reporting real estate transactions to a central UF record in advance, and reporting to the UF BOT Finance and Facilities Committee at its regular meetings. (“Intra-UF-family” means any combination of UF, Affiliate(s), and Shands Entit(ies).)
II. Full Chart of Enhanced Governance Standards & Approval or Communication Types* (Full Standards Chart or Chart) required before binding action

**A:** Full UF BOT approval  
**A/Gov.:** UF BOT Governance Committee approval –or **A/FF:** UF Finance and Facilities Committee approval  

**B:** UF Pres. approval (without BOT or Chair)  

**C:** UF Pres. approval after UF BOT Chair concurs and UF BOT Vice Chair is notified  

**D:** UF Pres. approval after conferring with UF BOT Chair and notifying UF BOT Vice Chair  

**E:** UF Pres. and UF Trustee on Shands Entity Board/Member must vote in Shands Board majority for Shands Board action on debt to be effective  

**Information:** To be given in advance to UF Pres., UF BOT Chair and Vice Chair  

*When the UF Pres/BOT Chair must approve/concur in, confer on, or receive information about—or the UF BOT Vice Chair and Trustees are to receive notice respecting—an Affiliate matter, this is in their executive and service capacities in their role on behalf of the Affiliate to further its good governance. When the UF Pres. and/or UF BOT Chair and Vice Chair are to receive information from Shands Entities, this also is in their executive and service capacities for Shands Entities. Advance concurrence of, conferring with, or information to the UF BOT Chair and advance notice to the Vice Chair are to be followed (but not necessarily before binding action) by notice to all Trustees (or presentation at a UF Board or committee meeting) even though not stated throughout. In receiving such notice, all Trustees act in their executive and service capacities. (These communications may be oral; that they occurred will be recorded.) UF Pres./designee may provide approval by voting in favor as a member of an Affiliate Board, may approve in advance, or may ratify before an Affiliate Board vote becomes effective, as most convenient for the Affiliate. UF Pres.’ vote in favor of an action on an Affiliate Board is UF Pres. approval.  

See definitions and benchmarks at the end of the following Full Standards Chart:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Type of Approval or Information</th>
<th>Additional requirements, exceptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. (a) UF Pres. Appointments to UF Category 1 Affiliate Boards and the Shands Entities’ Boards (As of 3/17, UF Fnd, UF Research Fnd, UF Athletic Assoc., Boosters, UF Invest. Corp., UF Develop. Corp., Shands Entities) (b) Practice Plans will have a UF Pres. appointee. | **A** | **Additional Requirements:** Appointees under 1 (a) and (b) begin serving upon appointment by the Pres., subject to UF BOT ratification on the Consent Agenda, with Gov. Comm. recommendation.  

**Exceptions:** (a) UF Pres. appointment of a designee (e.g., UF SVP/COO) to
serve on any Affiliate Board in lieu of UF Pres. where that is permitted in the Bylaws, or (b) UF Pres. appointment of 1 official from a list of officials (e.g., UF VP/CFO or SVP/COO) specified in Bylaws, or (c) UF Pres. appointments to Category 2 & 3 Affiliates --do not require BOT ratification (except that the UF Pres. appointee to each Practice Plan Board does require BOT ratification).

**Newly Required Examples:** (a) Ratification of UF Pres. appointments to Boards of UAA, Boosters, UF Fnd, UF Research Fnd, UF Investment Corp, UF Development Corp., Shands Teaching Hospital and Clinics, Shands Jacksonville Healthcare (parent of Jax hospital), Shands Jacksonville Medical Center, and UF Pres. appointment of a member of each Practice Plan Board require ratification. (See exceptions above.)

**Still Not Required Examples:** (a) UF Pres. appointments to Category 2&3 Affiliate Boards, e.g., Alumni Assoc., Law Alumni Assoc., UF Historic St. Augustine, IFAS research DSOs, do not require UF BOT ratification.

**2. (a) Capital Facilities Projects >$2M**

(unless the specific >$2M project is listed in a capital budget or in a projects line item in an operating budget that has already been approved by A for UF or C for Affiliates)

or

(b) Any Capital Project Needing New State Appropriation Request or

(c) Any Energy Savings Contract (ESCo) or

(d) Facilities Project of >$2M Scope Change Order Increasing GMP >10% or Needing New State Appropriation Request

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UF—A</th>
<th>UF—A/FF for Change Order</th>
<th>UF—A</th>
<th>UF—A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(whether publicly or privately funded)</td>
<td>Affiliate—C</td>
<td>Affiliation</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
<td>(if privately funded) or</td>
<td>or</td>
<td>(if Energy Savings Contract or if bonded or if needing a state appropriation request)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Requirements:**

 UF—

(a) Quality discussion to occur -- including priority and funding options--at a regular or dedicated UF BOT/FF Comm. meeting before the BOT/FF Comm. meeting when approval is requested (and not just that meeting’s prep. call).

(b) UF Pres./designee approves UF contracts (i) for BOT-approved projects (UF procurement/ bidding, standard forms apply) and (ii) for projects ≤$2M.

(b) BOG approval of UF Leg. Budget Request is also required.

(c) By statute/BOG Debt Mgt Guidelines, UF Energy Savings Contract of any amount requires
UF and Affiliate facilities projects over applicable thresholds are to be reported to the UF FF Comm. at its regular meetings.

Affiliates must notify UF SVP/COO, VP/Business Affairs, or UF Development Corp. in advance of all capital projects for a central UF record.

UF BOT approval; if >$10M BOG approval is also required.

**Affiliates:**

(a) Affiliate Board must approve projects >$2M (may do so in its budgeting process)

(b) Affiliate CEO and UF VP/Bus. Affairs must approve contracts for approved projects.

(c) UF procurement/bidding process must be followed and UF contract forms must be used.

(d) However, for clinical facilities projects that are off campus (and not on UF BOT- or State of Florida- owned or leased property):

Practice Plans may engage a Shands Entity and use its forms and procurement and project processes (rather than UF’s) to leverage Shands’ specialized clinical facilities development expertise, volume purchases, and administrative support infrastructure for quality, better pricing and lower cost for the Practice Plan.

(e) All Affiliate Energy Savings Contracts require UF BOT and Affiliate Board approval as debt. (DSOs are already required by statute/BOG Debt Mgt Guidelines.)

(d) DSO Energy Savings Contracts >$10M also require BOG approval per statute and BOG Debt Mgt Guidelines.
3.14.17

(e) DSO P3 projects require UF BOT and BOG approval, when covered by BOG P3 regulations.

Shands Entities:
(a) Shands budget processes apply.

(b) See Exhibit A, p.4 re: Shands Jax Bylaws require the Jacksonville parent corp. chair (i.e., UF Pres./designee) as chair or member to approve capital budget, along with the Shands Jax Entity Board.

Exceptions:
UF and Affiliates:
(a) Capital projects ≤$2M that do not require a state appropriation request are approved by UF Pres./designee or Affiliate CEO/designee

Newly Required Examples: (a) A applies to privately funded UF projects >$2M —e.g., Heavener Hall, Med. Ed.—and those with state appropriations—e.g., Chemistry—which were BOT-approved previously, but BOT will now have the opportunity for a robust discussion at a separate meeting before acting. (b) UAA FB Practice Facility, proposed FB, Baseball, Softball facilities would require C even if private donor-funded; would continue to require A if bond-funded or if state appropriation request is needed. (c) Information is to be provided to the UF Pres., BOT Chair and Vice Chair by Shands Entities on their projects over a threshold (and if financed, Exhibit B debt requirements apply)—e.g., Cardiac/Neurology Hospital.

Still Not Required Examples: (a) Minor projects ≤$2M do not require A (for UF) or C (for Affiliates) or Information (from Shands Entities)—e.g., replacement of an outdated HVAC system; minor projects to renovate/refresh office space (painting, flooring, lighting). (b) Studies/plans would not require A or C (if not providing project approval)—but under “catch all” a strategic plan material to UF or Affiliate, e.g., UAA $100M facility plan, would require advance discussion between the UF Pres. and BOT Chair, with notice to the Vice Chair—Trustees would be notified or a presentation would be made at a BOT meeting.

3. Capital Budget (stand-alone or as a category in operating budget)

--Regardless of whether a capital budget approval threshold is triggered, an Affiliate Board may request and

UF—

A

Affiliate—

C

(if >$10M capital budget-- or applies regardless of size of budget if Affiliate

Additional Requirements:
UF:
(a) BOG must also approve.

Affiliate:
(a) Affiliate Board must approve capital budget of any amount, and may approve a project line
obtain C approval of its capital budget or a project line item in an operating budget that lists a project of >$2M, in order to obtain approval of such listed >$2M project as part of the Affiliate’s budgeting process in lieu of separately seeking project approval under #2.

seeks approval of listed individual project of >$2M as part of the budgeting process, rather than project approval under #2)

\[ \textbf{B} \] (if >$2M - ≤$10M capital budget)

item in an operating budget (#4) or capital budget for miscellaneous minor projects (where each project is ≤$2M) that are approved as part of the budget.

(b) The nature of the UF approval—C or B—will depend on the size of the budget and whether a >$2M project approval is sought.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shands Entities:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Shands budget processes apply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) See Exhibit A, p.4 re: Shands Jax Bylaws require the Jacksonville parent corp. chair (i.e., UF Pres./ designee) as chair or member to approve capital budget, along with the Shands Jax Entity Board.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Newly Required Examples:** (a) UAA FB training facility ($60M), Baseball stadium extension/renovation ($28.6M), Softball facility renovation ($9.3M) would now require C for the project(s) (under #2) or C for a capital budget that is >$10M or specifies any project >$2M for approval (under #3) before binding action. (b) B is required for all Affiliate capital budgets of >$2M to ≤$10M (e.g., Gainesville COM Practice Plan’s FY17 capital budget of $4.9M driven by Springhill; Jax COM Practice Plan FY17 budget of $6M driven by North Jax Office Building); but if these projects are also listed in the budget for approval as part of the budget process, C would be required for the budget to approve any project of >$2M (or the project must separately obtain C approval under #2).

**Still Not Required Examples:** (a) Florida Foundation Seed Producers $574K FY17 capital budget for miscellaneous small projects ranging from $2K for computers to $50K for small grain cleaning equipment, to $200K for land leveling, grading, and storage sheds would not require C (just Affiliate Board’s approval).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Operating Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>--An Affiliate Board may request and obtain C approval of its facilities projects line item in its operating budget listing a &gt;$2M project, to obtain approval of such &gt;$2M project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UF—</th>
<th>Affiliate—</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(generally)</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Requirements:**

*UF:*

- BOG approval is also required under BOG Reg. 9.007.

*Affiliate:*

(a) Affiliate Board must approve operating budget.
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| as part of the Affiliate’s budgeting process in lieu of seeking project approval under #2. | (if the Affiliate seeks approval of a listed facilities project >$2M as part of the budget process, rather than project approval under #2) | (b) UF Board will receive a table from UF Pres./designee (e.g., VP/CFO) with a standardized high level summary of all Affiliates’ operating budgets within 90 days after FY. |

--See Chart #2 and #3 regarding options for approval of a facilities project of >$2M in the capital or operating budgeting process. See #3 regarding approval of miscellaneous minor projects (each <$2M) in capital or operating budget line items. | | Shands Entities:  
(a) Shands budget processes apply.  
(b) See Exhibit A, p.4 re: Shands Jax Bylaws require the Jacksonville parent corp. chair (i.e., UF Pres./ designee) as chair or member to approve operating budget, along with the Shands Jax Entity Board. |

5. Goods and Services Transactions if Contract is  
(a) >$10M total value & >$2M/yr. av. (revenues in or payments due), or  
(b) >10 years & exclusive  

| UF— | A/Gov. | Additional Requirements:  
**UF:**  
(a) BOG Reg. 18.001 limits renewal and extension terms for UF contracts (<1 yr. or longer extension to complete a new procurement process; longer of ≤5 yrs. or twice the original term for a renewal right in the contract)  

UF and Affiliates:  
(a) Renewal term requires A/Gov. (for UF) or C (for Affiliates) if—together with the initial term—the approval threshold is triggered.  

(b) However, if benchmarking per a to-be-BOT-approved IOM justifies renewal, the approval thresholds are applied to the renewal term on its own to determine if such approval is needed.  

**Affiliates:**  
(a) Affiliate Board or Affiliate Board Chair with advance notice |

| Affiliate— | C |  |

| Shands Entities— | Information |  |

(threshold TBD at or above UF’s threshold) |  |  |
to Affiliate Board Vice Chair (or other senior Board officer) must approve transactions over the threshold.

(b) UF procurement principles are generally followed by Affiliates, with flexibility to adapt implementation. (E.g., for clinical goods and services, the coordination of equipment, supplies and services purchases across the clinical continuum (UF college clinical practice, Practice Plans, Hospitals) is important to optimize clinical care. Clinical integration needs justify coordination and uniformity of purchasing with Shands Entities to ensure efficient clinical operations.)

**Shands Entities:**
(a) Shands budget and procurement processes apply.

(b) See Exhibit A, p.4 re: Shands Jax Bylaws require the Jacksonville parent corp. chair (i.e., UF Pres./designee) as chair or member to approve goods/personal property acquisition and disposition over a threshold, along with the Shands Jax Entity Board.

**Exceptions:**
**UF and Affiliates and Shands:**
(a) Intra-family (any combination of UF, Affiliate(s), Shands Entit(ies)) transactions do not require A or C approval (for UF or Affiliate) or Information (from Shands).

**UF and Affiliates:**
(a) UF Pres./designee approves transactions below the threshold.

(b) Medicaid and Medicare contracts do not require A (UF) or C (Affiliate) approval.

**Affiliates:**
(a) For clinical goods and services, Practice Plans also have opportunities to optimize cost and administrative efficiency across the clinical continuum (UF College/Practice Plan/Hospitals). Practice Plans may engage a Shands Entity and use its forms and procurement and project processes (rather than UF’s) to leverage Shands specialized expertise, volume purchasing, and administrative support infrastructure for better pricing and lower cost for the Practice Plan.

**Newly Required Examples:**
(a) A/Gov. approval will now be required of transactions before UF enters binding contracts above threshold (e.g., Aramark/food service, Follett/bookstore, Pepsi, Sierra-Cedar/student academic support operating system, Pearson/On-line Ed, RTS Bus service). (b) When UF is a party to a transaction over the threshold with a 3rd party and/or an Affiliate (e.g., Pepsi contract), A/Gov (for UF) and C (for Affiliate) are required because such approval(s) are required for UF and/or Affiliate participation (whether or not Shands is also a party). (c) If Shands is the sole UF family signatory of a contract over the TBD threshold with an outside 3rd party, Information is to be provided to the UF Pres., BOT Chair and Vice Chair.

**Still Not Required Examples:**
(a) Routine goods and services transactions (software, consulting, office equipment, etc.) with contracts below the threshold do not require A/Gov (for UF) or C (for Affiliate) approval. (b) Intra-family (UF, Affiliate(s), Shands Entit(ies)) transactions do not require A/Gov approval (for UF) or C approval (for Affiliate) or F information (from Shands) (e.g., UF COM providing clinical/medical director services to Shands, Practice Plan services agreement to COM).

6. (a) Real Estate Full Title,
(b) Easements (grant) >25 yr. (except utilities, access near road),
(c) Leases >10 yrs. or >50K sf or >$10M NPV lease payments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UF</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Affiliate—C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Shands Entities—Information**

**Additional Requirements:**
UF and Affiliates:
(a) The UF BOT for UF (or BOT Chair for Affiliates) may delegate some otherwise required A or C approval—and/or require some kinds of transactions to be
--All UF and Affiliate, and all intra-family real estate transactions will be reported to UF SVP/COO in advance of binding action for central record-keeping. (Intra-family=among any combination of UF, Affiliate(s), Shands Entit(ies).)

--Real estate transactions over applicable thresholds (A for UF or C for Affiliates)—and intra-family real estate transactions over the threshold (while not requiring approval)—will be included in a report to the UF FF Comm. at its regular meetings.

### (threshold TBD at or above UF’s threshold)

### vetted—by the UFDC Board (UF DSO with specialized expertise).

#### Affiliates:
(a) Affiliate Board must approve transactions over the threshold.

#### Shands Entities:
(a) Shands budget and real estate processes apply.

(b) See Exhibit A, p.4 re: Shands Jax Bylaws require the Jacksonville parent corp. chair (i.e., UF Pres./ designee) as chair or member to approve real estate transactions over a threshold, along with the Shands Jax Entity Board.

### Exceptions:

#### UF and Affiliates and Shands:
(a) Intra-family transactions do not require A approval (UF) or C approval (Affiliate) or Information (from Shands). But advance notice of all intra-family real estate transactions to UF SVP/COO is required for central record; and intra-family transactions over threshold are included in UF FF Comm. report.

#### UF and Affiliates:
(a) UF Pres./designee approves easement acquisitions, and leases and easement grants below the thresholds.

### Newly Required Examples:
(a) UF BOT approved the 50-yr. Duke Energy Easement grant due to state (not BOT) ownership of the land; now any easement grant >25 yrs. (other than utility/access near roads) will require UF BOT approval, even if UF BOT holds title and the state Internal Improvement Trust Fund would not require this. (Any non-easement, full title transaction, e.g., acquisition or disposition of forest land by IFAS, required UF BOT approval in the past and will continue to require that approval.) (b) C approval will now be required for the UF Foundation’s (and other Affiliates’, including Practice Plans’) real estate full title transactions (e.g., Foundation acquisition of Kangaroo...
property, Leonardo’s property, and 3 nearby parcels) and for easement grants and lease transactions over the relevant threshold.

Still Not Required Examples: (a) UF and Affiliate utility easement grants (e.g., along Archer Rd.), easement acquisitions, and leases ≤10 yrs and ≤50K sf and ≤$10M NPV lease payments do not and will not require A approval (for UF) or C approval (for Affiliates) (e.g., Sunrise FL lease to UF for the Exec. MBA program/7K sf, 6 or 7 yrs., NPV Lease Payments below threshold; Foundation lease of Coral Gables Office property which is 5 yrs., 4K sf, and below the NPV Lease Payments threshold; Jacksonville Practice Plan lease from a private party to house College of Dentistry clinics—5K sf/~6 yrs., NPV Lease Payments below threshold). (b) Intra-family (any combination of UF, Affiliate(s), Shands Entit(ies)) transactions do not require A approval (for UF) or C approval (for Affiliates) or F Information (from Shands) (e.g., Shands making office space leases to UF Practice Plan for UF COM clinics) unless Florida Internal Improvement Trust Fund requires A approval for state- (not UF BOT-) owned land.

| 7. Debt (including Energy Savings Contracts, P3 transactions) | UF— | A |
| | Affiliate— | A |
| | Shands Entities— | E / Exhibit B |

**Additional Requirements:**

**UF and DSOs:**
(a) BOG approval is also required unless excepted by BOG Debt Mgt Guidelines.

**Shands Entities:**
(a) Shands debt processes apply.

(b) See Exhibit A, p.4 re: Shands Jax Bylaws require the Jacksonville parent corp. chair (i.e., UF Pres./ designee) as chair or member to approve long-term debt, along with the Shands Jax Entity Board.

**Exceptions:**

**UF and DSOs:**
(a) BOG Debt Mgt Guidelines exceptions list some debt that requires A, but not BOG, approval.

**Shands:**
(a) Exceptions to E are for fully collateralized temporary operating lines of credit for ordinary business, already approved budget line items, refinancing without extension of maturity date to realize debt.
Newly Required Examples: (a) Practice Plan debt (including Energy Savings Contracts and P3) will require A. (DSO debt continues to require A.) (b) Exhibit B protocols now apply to Shands Entities Debt (e.g., for Cardiac and Neurology Hospitals).

Still Not Required Examples: (a) Debt Mgt Guidelines exceptions to BOG approval for UF and DSO debt (e.g., for fully collateralized temporary operating lines of credit for ordinary business, already approved budget line items, refinancing without extension of maturity date to realize debt service savings, DSO non-recourse loans <$20M/< 30 yrs.).

| 8. Compensation of All UF VPs (whether direct report to the Pres. or not) & All UF Pres. Exec. Direct Reports (initial and when changed) | UF— C | Additional Requirements: (a) market support for comp. is required, including consideration of individual accomplishments and contributions (b) BOT Vice Chair and Gov. Comm. members informed at least annually (c) (not a comp. issue) General Counsel is hired and fired by the Pres. but has an appropriate reporting relationship and ultimate accountability to the BOT as the University (per BOG governance standards). (d) (not a comp. issue) Chief Audit Exec. and Chief Compliance Officer report administratively to the Pres. (and also to the SVP/COO) and report functionally to the BOT (per BOG Reg. 4.002(5) & 4.003(5)). Exceptions: N/A |}

Newly Required Examples: (a) Gov. Comm. members to be informed at least annually of all VPs’ and all Pres. Exec. Direct Report (e.g., Exec. Chief of Staff) comp. (BOT Chair concurrence continues to be a prerequisite.)

Still Not Required Examples: (a) Compensation decisions for personnel below the organizational level of VP or Exec. Direct Report to the Pres. are made by the UF Pres./designee (e.g., Deans, Directors of areas, all other).

<p>| 9. Firing Any UF VP (whether direct report to the Pres. or not) or Exec. Direct Report to UF Pres. | UF— D | Additional Requirements: UF: (a) UF regs. apply (BOT approves regs.) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exception</th>
<th>Exceptions: N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Newly Required Examples:** (a) Before any VP or any Exec. Direct Report (e.g., Exec. Chief of Staff) to the Pres. is fired (or non-renewed), D will occur (and UF’s BOT-approved regs. also apply). This would be done anyway but is now a requirement.

**Still Not Required Examples:** (a) Firing or non-renewing personnel below the organizational level of VP or Exec. Direct Report to the Pres. are made by the UF Pres./designee (e.g., Deans, Directors of areas, all others) and UF BOT-approved regs. apply.

### 10. Hiring, Firing, Initial and Changed Compensation of (a) UF Pres. and (b) Affiliate CEO/Pres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UF—</th>
<th>Affiliate—</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Shands Entities— | N/A (but see additional requirements in next column) |

**Addition Requirements:**

**UF:**

(a) BOG ratification of initial hiring and extension of appointment of UF Pres. is also required.

(b) Pres. employment contract may determine initial comp. and provide formula for changed comp. Gov. Comm. & BOT may approve next year’s goals; Gov. Comm. may determine satisfaction of year-just-ending goals, dictating comp. going forward under contract formula.

**Affiliates:**

(a) UF Pres. conferring with UF BOT Chair and notifying Vice Chair on CEO comp. is to confirm appropriate process to determine reasonability in the relevant market (for initial comp.—arms-length negotiation/market data/individual; and for total comp. on change—IRS rules process/individual).

(b) Affiliate Board approves hiring, firing, and gives final approval of initial comp. of CEO. Affiliate Board or comp. committee gives final approval of total comp. as reasonable in the market upon a change.
(c) The UF Pres. (since 2007 and with D now applying) is authorized to remove Affiliate CEO “for cause” or “unacceptable performance.”

**Shands Entities:**
(a) Shands processes apply. Shands Board hires, fires, CEO and follows IRS process to ensure reasonability of comp. in the relevant market. UF Pres./designee is on comp. committee. (See #1 re: appointment and ratification of Shands Entities’ Boards. Also, Shands Entity Pres. (highest ranking administrator) and/or Shands Board Chair, is the same individual as UF SVPHA (designee of UF Pres.) or is the UF Pres.)

(b) See Exhibit A, p.4 re: Shands Jax Bylaws require the Jacksonville parent corp. chair (i.e., UF Pres./ designee) as chair or member to approve election and removal of CEO, along with the Shands Jax Entity Board.

**Exceptions:**

**Affiliates:**
(a) UF Pres. conferring with UF BOT Chair before approving comp. is not to judge the specific amount of comp., but is to confirm that an appropriate process is being followed to determine that the amount is reasonable in the relevant market (for which the IRS has created good practice rules, including market assessment, that substantively make sense in any event).

**Newly Required Examples:**
(a) D required for hiring, firing, initial and changed compensation of Affiliate CEO (UAA CEO/AD, UFICO CEO, Gatorcare Pres.)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Still Not Required Examples: (a)</th>
<th>D is not to determine specific compensation of an Affiliate CEO, provided it is within the relevant market reasonable range, as determined by the appropriate process.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **11. Hiring, Firing of Affiliate Other “Disqualified Person” (not CEO)** | **Affiliate—** Advance notice to UF Pres., BOT Chair and Vice Chair is required  
(senior exec. with institution-wide effect/influence or compensated primarily by revenues from an area s/he controls--see notes at end for IRS definition)  
**Additional Requirements:**  
**Affiliate:**  
(a) Purpose of notices is to provide heightened awareness/accountability (e.g., opportunity to ask questions)—not to second guess expert judgment of Affiliate CEO on qualifications/fit.  
(b) Affiliate CEO decides, but must give advance notices.  
**Exceptions:**  
**Affiliate:**  
(a) This standard doesn’t apply to hiring or firing of an Affiliate employee who is not a Disqualified Person. That is Affiliate CEO’s decision. |
| **Newly Required Examples: (a)** | Advance notice to the UF Pres., UF BOT Chair and Vice Chair is required for the hiring/firing of Head FB and Men’s Basketball Coaches by UAA/AD. (Advance notice now is required for hiring/firing of other Disqualified Persons (not CEO) by all Affiliates’ CEOs, e.g., when the UFICO CEO hires/fires its COO and some investment leadership staff.)  
**Still Not Required Examples: (a)** The Affiliate CEO hires/fires other personnel (e.g., coaches other than Head FB and Men’s Basketball). (UAA has many subsidized sports; this is highly operational; accountability is through AD whose hiring/firing by the UF Pres. does require conferring with UF BOT Chair and notifying Vice Chair in advance—per #10.) |
| **12. Initial Total Compensation and Upon Change Total Compensation with Change of (a) Affiliate other Disqualified Persons (not CEO) (regardless of comp. amount) and (b) Any Other Affiliate Employee with >$1M/yr. av. comp.** | **Affiliate—** D  
**Additional Requirements:**  
(a) For Disqualified Persons regardless of amount of comp.: Affiliate CEO decides initial comp., with D applying, based on arms-length recruitment, market data/individual for initial comp.; and total comp. is subject to Affiliate Board or Comp. Comm. final reasonability in the relevant market determination upon a change in comp. per IRS rules. (Conferring is to confirm appropriate process for reasonability in the market.)  
(b) For other employees who have >$1M/yr. av. comp: |
Affiliate CEO decides comp., with D applying, based on arms-length negotiation initially and relevant market data/individual initially and upon change

**Exceptions:**

**Affiliate:**
(a) UF Pres. conferring with UF BOT Chair before approving comp. is not to judge the specific amount of comp., but is to confirm that an appropriate process is being followed (as provided above) to determine that the amount is reasonable in the relevant market

(b) This standard doesn’t apply to compensation of an Affiliate employee who is not a Disqualified Person and who does not earn ≥$1M/yr. on av.

**Newly Required Examples:** (a) UF Pres. approval, after conferring with the UF BOT Chair and notifying the Vice Chair, is now required for comp. of (i) UAA/ Head FB and Men’s Basketball Coaches, UFICO COO and some UFICO investment leadership staff (Disqualified Persons); and (ii) UAA Baseball Coach (employee with ≥$1M/yr. av. comp.). For change in compensation, the final Affiliate Board or comp. comm. determination is required.

**Still Not Required Examples:** (a) Compensation of other personnel by Affiliate is Affiliate CEO decision.

### 13. Research and IP Agreements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UF—</th>
<th>Affiliate CEO approves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>(generally)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>(for any required outside debt or creation of a new entity or request for new appropriation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>(for facilities construction or real estate transaction over applicable threshold)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Requirements:**

**UF:**
(a) Research conflict and IP regs. approved by UF Board (as has been the case).

(b) As endorsed by BOG, UF Pres./designee approves most research and IP agreements, as well as temporary internal financing from a UF sponsored research fund to begin work under cost reimbursable research awards (common in research). These bring resources to UF, require specialized expertise, advance core mission.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(generally)</th>
<th>Special funds are intended for research (indirect cost recovery, private donations and clinical revenues available for research, appropriated funds authorized or budgeted for research, and interest on these).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| But A (for any required Affiliate outside debt or new entity creation or request for new appropriation) | Affiliate:  
(a) Affiliate CEO/designee approves research and IP agreements using Affiliate funds. |
| But C (for any required Affiliate facility construction or real estate transaction above applicable threshold) | Exceptions:  
UF & Affiliate:  
(a) A is required for outside debt or creation of a new entity or request for new appropriation.  
(b) A (for UF) or C (for Affiliate) is required for facility construction or real estate transaction over threshold. |

**Newly Required Examples:** (a) A applies to outside debt or creation of new entity for UF, UFRF, IFAS research entities (as has long been the case), and A (for UF) or C (for UFRF or IFAS research entities) applies to facilities construction, if thresholds are triggered for these actions (e.g., A for Clinical and Translational Research facility).  
**Still Not Required Examples:** (a) Sponsored research agreements not binding UF or Affiliate to debt, to UF or an Affiliate creating a new entity, or to construction or a real estate transaction over the threshold (most sponsored research agreements).  

| 14. (a) Creation of New Entity, Affiliate, Subsidiary, Merger  
(Involves control or ownership interest. N/A to collaboration-style affiliation agreements)  
(b) Amend Affiliate’s or Shands’ Entity’s Corp. Articles of Organization  
(c) Amend Affiliate’s Bylaws | UF—  
A Create New Entity, Affiliate, Subsidiary, or Merger  
Affiliate—  
A Create New Entity, Affiliate, Subsidiary, or Merger, or Amend Affiliate Articles  
C Amend Affiliate Bylaws  
Shands Entities—  
A  
**Additional Requirements:**  
Shands Entities:  
(a) Shands processes apply.  
(b) See Exhibit A, p.4 re: Shands Jax Bylaws require the Jacksonville parent corp. chair (i.e., UF Pres./designee) as chair or member to approve merger and amendments of Articles and Bylaws, along with the Shands Jax Entity Board.  
**Exceptions:**  
UF and Affiliates and Shands: |
| **Create New Entity, Affiliate, Subsidiary, or Merger or Amend Shands Entity Articles** | (a) Collaboration-style affiliation agreements do not require A. |
| **Newly Required Examples:** (a) | Creation of Ocala/Villages LLC co-owned by UF COM Practice Plan and Shands requires A. (This has been the practice, but is now required, and was required by amended Shands statute.) (b) Amend Affiliate or Shands Articles requires A. (Shands statute requires this.) |
| **Still Not Required Examples:** (a) | Customary research, education, or clinical collaboration agreements among UF and other institutions for collaborative endeavors, with neither party assuming legal partner/owner liability. |

**15. Investments**

(a) Investment decisions are made by UFICO experts under policies established by an expert UFICO Board on which the UF BOT Chair or Vice Chair (or more expert other Trustee) serves and (b) Transactions of entities in which UFICO or its affiliate invests (via stock/equity, limited partner or non-managing member interest, fund investment or equivalent investment manager investing an account, and the like)—are not UFICO transactions and are not subject to the standards in this Chart.

| **UF**— | **A** (for investment policy for operating funds in excess of those required to meet current expenses, IOM 06-15, implementing 1011.42 Florida statutes) |
| **UFICO**— | **Reports to UF BOT Fin./Fac. Comm. required at all regular meetings.** |

(Also see next column for approvals of non-investment UFICO actions.)

| **Additional Requirements: Affiliate:** | (a) UF Pres. appointments to UFICO Board are subject to A (#1), which ensures its Directors are (i) top investment experts, (ii) representatives of UF and key Affiliates, and (iii) the UF Board Chair or Vice Chair or more expert other UF Trustee. (See Exhibit A) |
| | (b) UFICO CEO/designee must report (and does) to UF Finance and Facilities Committee at each regular meeting. |
| | (c) Hiring, firing, compensation of UFICO CEO requires D (#10). |
| | (d) UF Pres. may discharge UFICO CEO for “cause” or for “unacceptable performance” after conferring with UFICO Board or Chair/Vice Chair (as is the case with other DSOs) and D applies too. |
| | (e) UFICO goods and services transactions [e.g., investment advisor contract if over the threshold] are subject to C (#5). |
| | (f) UFICO leases or purchase/sale of real property [e.g., of facilities for UFICO’s offices] are subject to C (#6). |
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### (e) Any UFICO direct bonding or direct debt [e.g., for UFICO facilities/equipment] is subject to A (#7).

**Exceptions:**
(a) UFICO CEO/designee makes investment decisions under UFICO Board policies and Information does not apply. (But reports are made to the UF BOT FF Comm.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Newly Required Examples: (a)</th>
<th>If UFICO enters into an investment advisory contract over the goods and services threshold in #5 or enters an office lease over the threshold in #6, C is required. Hiring, firing and comp. of UFICO CEO is subject to D under #10.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Still Not Required Examples: (a)</td>
<td>Investment decisions are made by UFICO CEO/designee under UFICO Board policies. Transactions of entities (e.g., REIT or fund) in which UFICO or its affiliate invests are not UFICO transactions under this Chart.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 16. Legal/Admin. Enforcement Claims Settlements | UF and Affiliate—
(B) Settlement of Claims ≤$750K
(D) Settlement of Claims >$750K to ≤$1M
(C) Settlement of Claims >$1M | Additional Requirements:
UF:
BOG governance principles included these thresholds
Affiliate:
(a) Affiliate Board Chair also must confer on claim >$750K and concur in settlement of claim >$1M

**Exceptions:** N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Newly Required Examples: (a)</th>
<th>UF Pres. or GC confers with BOT leadership and informs all Trustees of the rare major settlements. Now specific dollar thresholds are established.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Still Not Required Examples: (a)</td>
<td>Medical malpractice claims are handled by the Self Insurance Program which statutorily reports to the BOG and has UF senior health affairs and legal experts on its board.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definitions:**

6. **“Unacceptable performance”** (since 2007, allowing the UF President to remove the Affiliate CEO, since 2017, after conferring with the UF BOT Chair and notifying the Vice Chair) means a persistent failure to fulfill duties of the position to high standards and in a manner that serves
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the best interests of the Affiliate and the University, as determined by the University President after consulting with the Affiliate Board or its authorized designee acting as a senior executive of the Affiliate.

7. “For cause” (since 2007, allowing the UF President to remove an Affiliate Board member, since 2017, after conferring with the UF BOT Chair and notifying the Vice Chair) means actions or omissions that may adversely reflect on the interests or reputation of the Affiliate or the University, as determined by the University President after consulting with the Affiliate Board or its authorized designee acting as a senior executive of the Affiliate. Any such determination may be made by the University President at any time and need not depend on the conclusion of any external determination or process.

8. “Disqualified Persons” are those whose total compensation by a tax-exempt private entity must be approved by the Board or an authorized compensation committee, and shown not to be an “excess benefit” to the individual Disqualified Person under IRS rules. The compensation must be reasonable in the market in relation to the value received by the entity, as demonstrated by assessing its comparability to the compensation of similar positions in scope and character of responsibility at peer institutions, as well as the accomplishments and expected contributions of the individual. Disqualified Persons are--
   a. CEO or COO function (position, regardless of title, with ultimate responsibility for implementing Board decisions or supervising management, administration, or operations of the entity),
   b. CFO or Treasurer function (position, regardless of title, with ultimate responsibility for managing entity finances),
   c. Board Chair (if compensated);
   d. any title having substantial influence entity-wide (facts and circumstances test)—e.g.,
      • anyone in charge of an area or activity representing a substantial portion of the activities, assets, income, or expenses of the entity,
      • anyone who contributes >2% of total gifts/bequests to the entity in a year,
      • the entity founder,
      • anyone who receives compensation primarily based on revenues from activities within the individual’s control,
      • anyone who has authority to control or determine a substantial portion of the entity’s capital expenses, operating budget, or employee compensation, and
      • anyone who has a controlling vote.

   (Coaches in sports that must be subsidized and do not generate significant net revenues or expenses—as compared with Football, Basketball, other activities that do generate significant net revenues or expenses—are not Disqualified Persons.)

2. Benchmarks:

   a. Construction Project Benchmarks
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- **Ohio State** Board approves any project of >$4M total project and Pres., Sr. Admin. approves <$4M.
- **Penn State** Board approves any project of >$5M total project and Sr. Admin. approves <$5M.
- **Michigan** Board approves construction projects of >$1M and Sr. Admin. approves <$1M.
- **Texas** Board approves a Capital Improvement Plan and Budget and projects not in the Plan/Budget that are >$10M, debt financed, or architectural significant.

b. **Vendor/Service Agreement Benchmarks**

- **Ohio State, Univ. of Michigan, and Penn State** do not impose caps on Pres./Sr. Admin. for purchasing goods and services, although Penn State has a $1M limit on some sub-delegation (which is N/A to master agreements that do not require committing the university to purchasing a volume).
- **Texas** is subject to a $2.5M limit, which is going to be increased to $5M for university level approval, above which the Board approves.
- **UF** reviewed its and Affiliates’ major contracts and confirmed the threshold in the Governance Enhancement Chart captures them.

c. **Benchmarks for Real Estate Transactions**

- **Ohio State** Board approves (1) Realty Purchase/Sale (except easements <25 yrs or roadway); (2) Easements (grant) >25 yrs. (except roadway easements and renewals for like term); (3) Leases with NPV lease payments >$10M.
- **Michigan** Board approves (1) Realty Purchase/Sale (except easements) (but sale of donated realty outside of main campus locale is approved by Pres., Sr. Admin. with a report to the Board); (2) No Easements (all Easements are approved by Pres., Sr. Admin.); (3) Leases >50K sf and >10 yrs or leasehold improvements >$1M.
- **Penn State** Board approves (1) Realty Purchase/Sale >$1M, except sale of realty of <$3M gifted for the purpose of sale is approved by Pres./Sr. Admin. with report to Board
- **Texas** Board approves (1) Realty Realty Purchase/Sale & Easements >$2.5M; (2) Leases NPV >$2.5M.

d. **Benchmarks for Research Agreements and Creating New Entities**

- **Ohio State, Michigan, Penn State, Texas** do not require Board approval of research and IP agreements but do require Board approval of IP policy

**Ohio State, Michigan and Texas** require Board approval to create a new company/joi